

December 7, 2016

**Agricultural Land Commission** 

133–4940 Canada Way Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6 Tel: 604 660-7000

Fax: 604 660-7033 www.alc.gov.bc.ca

ALC File: 55061

Lance Hill 8860 Draper Street Mission, BC V2V 7G3

Dear Mr. Hill:

# Re: Application to Subdivide Land in the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR)

Please find attached the Reasons for Decision of the South Coast Panel (Resolution #417/2016) as it relates to the above noted application.

#### Reconsideration of a Decision as Directed by the ALC Chair

Please note that pursuant to <u>s. 33.1 of the Agricultural Land Commission Act</u>, the Chair may direct the executive committee to reconsider any panel decision if, within 60 days from the date of this decision, he considers that the decision may not fulfill the purposes of the commission as set out in s. 6, or does not adequately take into consideration s. 4.3.

You will be notified in writing if the Executive Committee is directed to reconsider your decision. The Commission advises you to take this 60 day period into consideration prior to proceeding with any actions upon this decision.

#### Reconsideration of a Decision by an Affected Person

We draw your attention to <u>s. 33(1) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act*</u> which provides a person affected the opportunity to submit a request for reconsideration.

- 33(1) On the written request of a person affected or on the commission's own initiative, the commission may reconsider a decision of the commission under this Act and may confirm, reverse or vary it if the commission determines that:
  - (a) evidence not available at the time of the original decision has become available,
  - (b) all or part of the original decision was based on evidence that was in error or was false.

For further clarity, s. 33.1and s. 33(1) are separate and independent sections of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act*.

Further correspondence with respect to this application is to be directed to Jenna Bedore at (Jenna.Bedore@gov.bc.ca).

# Page 2 of 2

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Jenna Bedore, Land Use Planner

Enclosure: Reasons for Decision (Resolution #417/2016)

cc: District of Mission (File: ALR16-001)

55061d1



# **AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION FILE 55061**

# REASONS FOR DECISION OF THE SOUTH COAST PANEL

Application submitted pursuant to	s. 21(2) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act
Applicant:	Lance Gordon Hill
	(the "Applicant")

Application before the South Coast Regional Panel: William Zylmans, Panel Chair Gordon McCallum



#### **THE APPLICATION**

[1] The legal description of the property involved in the application is:

Parcel Identifier: 010-980-067 Lot "C", Section 35, Township 17, New Westminster District, Plan 3550 (the "Property")

- [2] The Property is 3.7 ha in area.
- [3] The Property has the civic address 8860 Draper Street, Mission, BC.
- [4] The Property is located within a designated agricultural land reserve ("ALR") as defined in s. 1 of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* (the "*ALCA*").
- [5] The Property is located within Zone 1 as defined in s. 4.2 of the ALCA.
- [6] Pursuant to s. 21(2) of the *ALCA*, the Applicant is applying to subdivide the Property into two lots, of 1.6 ha and 2.1 ha in order to build a new (second) dwelling (the "Proposal"). The Proposal along with supporting documentation is collectively the application (the "Application").

# **RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

- [7] The Application was made pursuant to s. 21(2) of the ALCA:
  - 21(2) An owner of agricultural land may apply to the commission to subdivide agricultural land.
- [8] The Panel considered the Application within the context of s. 6 of the *ALCA*. The purposes of the Agricultural Land Commission (the "Commission") set out in s. 6 are as follows:



- 6 The following are the purposes of the commission:
  - (a) to preserve agricultural land;
  - (b) to encourage farming on agricultural land in collaboration with other communities of interest; and
  - (c) to encourage local governments, first nations, the government and its agents to enable and accommodate farm use of agricultural land and uses compatible with agriculture in their plans, bylaws and policies.

#### **EVIDENTIARY RECORD BEFORE THE PANEL**

- [9] The Panel considered the following evidence:
  - 1. The Application
  - 2. Local government documents
  - 3. Agricultural capability map, ALR context map and satellite imagery

All documentation noted above was disclosed to the Applicant in advance of this decision.

[10] At its meeting of July 18, 2016, the District of Mission resolved that the Application be forwarded to the ALC for final consideration.

# SITE VISIT

[11] The Panel, in the circumstances of the Application, did not consider it necessary to conduct a site visit to the Property based on the evidentiary record associated with the Application.

# **FINDINGS**

[12] In assessing agricultural capability, the Panel referred in part to agricultural capability mapping and ratings. The ratings are identified using the Canada Land Inventory (CLI), 'Soil



Capability Classification for Agriculture' system. The improved agricultural capability ratings identified on BCLI map sheet 92G/1f for the mapping units encompassing the Property are Class 2, Class 3, Class 4, Class 5, and Class 7. More specifically, ~35% (7:4T~3:5T), ~50% (6:4T~4:3T), ~14% (7T), ~1% (7:2T~3:3T)

Class 2 - land is capable of producing a wide range of crops. Minor restrictions of soil or climate may reduce capability but pose no major difficulties in management.

Class 3 - land is capable of producing a fairly wide range of crops under good management practices. Soil and/or climate limitations are somewhat restrictive.

Class 4 - land is capable of a restricted range of crops. Soil and climate conditions require special management considerations.

Class 5 - land is capable of production of cultivated perennial forage crops and specially adapted crops. Soil and/or climate conditions severely limit capability.

Class 7 - land has no capability for soil bound agriculture.

The limiting subclass associated with this parcel of land is T (topographic limitations).

- [13] The Panel reviewed the BCLI ratings and find that the majority of the Property has agricultural capability, and is appropriately designated as ALR.
- [14] The Applicant states in the Application that the purpose of the Proposal is to subdivide the parcel to facilitate building a new home. The Applicant also contends that the Property is too large to be workable without equipment, but that the operation of equipment on the Property is not safe due to topography. The Panel finds that the Property has greater agricultural utility in its present size and configuration.
- [15] The Panel finds that subdivision of agricultural parcels often acts to narrow the range of agricultural options available on a given parcel. Smaller lots are also more likely to be



used for residential purposes which may introduce more residential/agricultural conflicts, and/or increase pressure for further subdivision in the surrounding area.

# **DECISION**

- [16] For the reasons given above, the Panel refuses the Proposal.
- [17] These are the unanimous reasons of the South Coast Panel of the Agricultural Land Commission.
- [18] A decision of the Panel is a decision of the Commission pursuant to s. 11.1(5) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act*.
- [19] This decision is recorded as Resolution #417/2016 and is released on December 7, 2016.

**CERTIFICATION OF DECISION** 

William Zylmans, Panel Chair, on behalf of the South Coast Panel

**END OF DOCUMENT**