

Agricultural Land Commission 133–4940 Canada Way Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6 Tel: 604 660-7000 Fax: 604 660-7033 www.alc.gov.bc.ca

ALC File: 54779

July 20, 2016

Cypress Land Services Inc. Suite 120 - 736 Granville Street Vancouver, BC V6Z 1G3

Attention Tawny Verigin:

Re: Application to Conduct a Non-Farm Use in the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR)

Please find attached the Reasons for Decision of the Agricultural Land Commission (Resolution #269/2016) as it relates to the above noted application. As agent, it is your responsibility to notify your client accordingly. A sketch plan depicting the decision is also attached.

Further correspondence with respect to this application is to be directed to Riccardo Peggi at (Riccardo.Peggi@gov.bc.ca).

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

Colin J. Fry, Director of Planning and Policy

Enclosures: Reasons for Decision (Resolution #269/2016) Sketch plan

cc: East Kootenay Regional District (File: P 716 208)

54779d1



AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION FILE 54779

REASONS FOR DECISION OFTHE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Application submitted pursuant to s. 20(3) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act

Applicant:

Telus Mobility Mobile Inc. (the "Applicant")

Agent:

Tawny Verigin, Cypress Land Services Inc. (the "Agent")

Application before the Chief Executive Officer: Kim Grou

Kim Grout (the "CEO")



THE APPLICATION

- The legal description of the property involved in the application is: Parcel Identifier: 013-313-363
 Sublot 2, District Lot 322, Kootenay District, Plan X-5 Except Part Included in Plan 8934 (the "Property")
- [2] The Property is 53.5 ha in area.
- [3] The Property is generally located on the south side of Kikomun Road, on the eastern bank of Lake Koocanusa.
- [4] Pursuant to s. 20(3) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act (the "ALCA"), the Applicants are applying to construct a 60 meter high self-supporting cellular communication tower with an access road and connecting power lines (the "Proposal"). The Proposal along with supporting documents is collectively the "Application".

RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- [5] The Application was made pursuant to s. 20(3) of the ALCA:
 - 20 (3) An owner of agricultural land or a person with a right of entry to agricultural land granted by any of the following may apply to the commission for permission for a non-farm use of agricultural land.
- [6] Pursuant to s. 27 of the ALCA the CEO may approve some applications:
 - 27 (1) The commission, by resolution, may establish criteria under which the following may be approved by the chief executive officer:
 - (a) specified types of applications for exclusion, subdivision or non-farm use;
 - (b) applications with respect to specified regions of British Columbia.



- (2) The commission must put the criteria established under subsection (1) in writing and make them available for inspection during ordinary business hours.
- (3) An application that meets the criteria established under subsection (1) may be approved by the chief executive officer on the terms that the chief executive officer may impose.
- (4) If the chief executive officer considers that the application does not meet the criteria specified under subsection (1) or for any other reason does not wish to approve the application under subsection (3), the application must be referred to the commission for a decision.
- (5) An approval of an application by the chief executive officer under subsection(3) is decision of the commission for the purposes of this Act.
- (6) The chief executive officer may not exercise a power that has been delegated to a local government, a first nation government or an authority by an agreement entered into under section 26.
- [7] On June 27, 2011, the Agricultural Land Commission (the "Commission") delegated decision-making to the CEO by Resolution #016N-2011 (File: 140-60/ALC/CEO/APPL).
 In accordance with section 27 of the *ALCA* the Commission has specified that the following applications may be decided by the CEO.

Criterion 14

Exclusion, subdivision, and non-farm use applications that are not consistent with any of the existing approved criteria (Criteria 1 - 13) but nonetheless are minor in nature, and in the opinion of the CEO the interests of the Commission would be unaffected by an approval of the application.

DECISION

- [8] After reviewing the Application, I am satisfied that the Proposal is consistent with Criterion #14 of Resolution #016N/2011 and approve the Proposal.
- [9] The Proposal is approved subject to the following conditions:



- a. the non-farm use be in substantial compliance with the plan submitted with the Application;
- [10] This decision does not relieve the owner or occupier of the responsibility to comply with applicable Acts, regulations, bylaws of the local government, and decisions and orders of any person or body having jurisdiction over the land under an enactment.
- [11] Decision recorded as Resolution #269/2016.

A decision of the CEO is a decision of the Commission pursuant to s. 27(5) of the ALCA.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE RECORD OF THE DECISION

Kim Grout, Chief Executive Officer

July 20, 2016 Date Released



NEW O/H POWER TO SITE LOCATION (AREA = APPROX. 0 BASED ON A 10m W	NEW ACCESS ROAD FROM EXISTING ROAD TELUS SITE LOCATION NEW TELUS CELL SITE LEASE AREA (AREA = APPROX. 0	100m 100m	CO-ORDINATE TABLECO-ORDINATE TABLENAD 83ZONE 9NORTHINGEASTING5457653.4626608.1626608.1049
			DESCRIPTION CENTER OF TELUS PROPOSED TOWER