



**Agricultural Land Commission**  
133-4940 Canada Way  
Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6  
Tel: 604 660-7000  
Fax: 604 660-7033  
www.alc.gov.bc.ca

November 10, 2011

Reply to the attention of Gordon Bednard  
ALC File:#52195

Terrin and Frank Hatton  
PO Box 69  
Goodlow, BC  
V0C 1S0

David and Elizabeth Bueckert  
PO Box 40  
Goodlow BC  
V0C 1S0

Dear Sirs and Mesdames:

**Re: Application to Subdivide land in the Agricultural Land Reserve**

Please find attached the Minutes of Resolution # 382/2011 outlining the Commission's decision as it relates to the above noted application.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Brian Underhill', is written over a horizontal line.

Brian Underhill, Executive Director

cc: Peace River Regional District Attn: Jodi Maclean file # 25/2011

GB/  
52195d1



## PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

---

A meeting was held by the Provincial Agricultural Land Commission on October 26, 2011 at the offices of the Commission located at #133 – 4940 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C.

### COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

Gordon Gillette	Chair
Jerry Thibeault	Commissioner
Lucille Dempsey	Commissioner
Denise Dowswell	Commissioner
Jim Collins	Commissioner

### COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:

Gordon Bednard	Land Use Planner
Colin Fry	Executive Director

---

### APPLICATION ID: #52195

**PROPOSAL:** Proposal to subdivide a 1/2 section into two 1/4 sections. The application is a result of differences in management between the co-owners of the 1/2 section.

(Submitted pursuant to section 21(2) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act*)

### PROPERTY INFORMATION:

**Parcel ID:** 014-640-376

**Legal Description:** The North 1/2 of Section 36, Township 84, Range 15, West of the 6th Meridian, Peace River District Except the Most Westerly 4.267 metres

**Size:** 126.5 ha

**Area in ALR:** 126.5 ha

**Current Land Use:** Residential- three dwellings

**Farm Classification:** No

**(BC Assessment)**

---

### PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION:

1. David & Elizabeth Bueckert,
2. Terrin and Karen Hatton

**DATE PROPERTY ACQUIRED:** 2005

---

### SITE INSPECTION MEETING:

A site inspection meeting was conducted on June 13, 2011 following which a report was prepared.

Section 14(2) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* provides that a member of the Commission who was not present at a meeting to determine an application or other matter may vote on the application or matter only if a summary of the meeting is given to the member before the vote. The site inspection meeting report constitutes a written record of the site inspection meeting and has been provided to all Commission members recorded above.

---

#### **COMMISSION CONSIDERATION:**

Section 6 of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* identifies the purposes of the Commission are (1) to preserve agricultural land; (2) to encourage farming on agricultural land in collaboration with other communities of interest; and (3) to encourage local governments, first nations, the government and its agents to enable and accommodate farm use of agricultural land and uses compatible with agriculture in their plans, bylaws and policies.

#### **Agricultural Capability**

In assessing agricultural capability, the Commission refers in part to agricultural capability mapping and ratings. The ratings are interpreted using the Canada Land Inventory (CLI), 'Soil Capability Classification for Agriculture' system, or the BC Land Inventory (BCLI), 'Land Capability Classification for Agriculture in B.C.' system.

The agricultural capability of the soil of the subject property is

Class 3 – Land in this class has limitations that require moderately intensive management practices or moderately restrict the range of crops, or both.

Class 4 – Land in this class has limitations that require special management practices or severely restrict the range of crops, or both.

Subclasses

X        cumulative and minor adverse

#### **Agricultural Suitability**

The Commission assessed whether external factors such as encroaching non-farm development have caused or will cause the land to become unsuitable for agriculture. The Commission does not believe there are external factors that render the land unsuitable for agricultural use. This property is located in an area of extensive agricultural development with few (if any) external non-farm development pressures. Lands in this region are already developed as farmland or in the process of being cleared for farming.

#### **Assessment of Potential Impact on Agriculture**

The Commission also assessed the impact of the proposal against the long term goal of preserving agricultural land. The Commission is generally not supportive of the subdivision of land with agricultural potential as its experience is that subdivision limits future agricultural options and increases the likelihood of non-farm land uses.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. That the land under application has agricultural capability and is appropriately designated as ALR.
2. That the land under application is suitable for agricultural use.
3. That the proposal will negatively impact agriculture.
4. That the proposal is inconsistent with the objective of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* to preserve agricultural land.

**IT WAS**

**MOVED BY:** Commissioner Jerry Thibeault

**SECONDED BY:** Commissioner Denise Dowswell

THAT the application be refused for the above reasons.

AND THAT the applicant be advised of the provisions of Section 33 of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* which provides an applicant with the opportunity to submit a request for reconsideration.

- S.33 (1) *On the written request of a person affected or on the commission's own initiative, the commission may reconsider a decision of the commission under this Act and may confirm, reverse or vary it if the commission determines that*
- (a) *evidence not available at the time of the original decision has become available,*
  - (b) *all or part of the original decision was based on evidence that was in error or was false.*
- (2) *The commission must give notice of its intention to reconsider a decision under subsection (1) to any person that the commission considers is affected by the reconsideration.*

AND THAT the applicant be advised that a revised proposal does not constitute new information and will not be considered as a basis for reconsideration and the time limit for submitting a request for reconsideration is one (1) year from the date of the decision letter.

**CARRIED**

**Resolution # 382/2011**