

Provincial Agricultural Land Commission - Staff Report Application: 52149

Applicant:	Geertsma Farms Ltd
Agent:	Barry Lehna
Local Government:	City of Pitt Meadows

**Proposal:** SUBDIVISION: The applicants are proposing two options for a homesite severance of approximately 1.6 ha from the subject property. Option 1 would be slightly larger and allow the applicants to maintain ownership of some of the farm buildings on the property. Option 2 would be smaller in area. Both options create a homesite parcel on the east side of the subject property along Neaves Road. The applicants also plan to donate a section of the subject property lying outside the dyke (northeast corner of the subject property) along the south Alouette river to the City of Pitt Meadows for recreational purposes.

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The Geertsma family have been farming on Neaves Road since 1957. However, the subject property was purchased in 1974. The parents of the applicants subdivided and sold a 2.4 ha portion of the subject property to their daughter and son-in-law (Shirley and Eric Leyenhorst), this is on the east side of the subject property along Sharpe Road. The majority of the subject property is leased to Prickett's Nurseries Ltd., they also have an option to purchase the land.

### **PROPERTY INFORMATION**

PID:026-213-184Legal Description:Lot 1 Section 26 Township 9 New Westminster District Plan BCP16150

Property Area: ALR Area:	15.1 ha 15.1 ha
Purchase Date:	March 1, 2005
Location:	13511 Neaves Road
Owner:	Geertsma Farms Ltd

## LAND USE

### **Current Land Use:**

The majority of the land being farmed by Prickett's Nurseries Ltd., who lease the land from the applicants. The applicants also have chickens, a small greenhouse operation and a number of vacant dairy barns.

### Surrounding Land Uses:

- North: Rural residence & blueberries
- East: Rural residence & farm
- South: Rural residence & farm
- West: Rural residence & blueberries

### PROPOSAL DETAILS

## **PROPOSAL DETAILS**

Subdivision -	ALR Area:	15.1 ha
---------------	-----------	---------

Number of Lots	ALR Area of Lot (ha)
1	13.5
1	1.6

### Agricultural Capability:

The majority of the area under application is rated as: Prime Dominant **Source:** BCLI **Mapsheet:** 92G/2g

PREVIOUS	APPLICATIONS

Application ID: Applicant: Proposal:	Marten & Cristina Geer Create a 1.2 ha leaseho	<b>Legacy #:</b> 20757 tsma old parcel from the existing 2.0 ha parcel, for (99-year) lease to the m on Lot 1Plan 72397. The 2.0 ha parcel would then be sold to the
Decision: Resolution #	Decision Date	Decision Description
70/1987	January 13, 1987	Refusal on the grounds that the proposed 99-year lease would not be in the best interests of preserving the land for agricultural purposes - however, the Commission is prepared to allow the creation of one 0.8 ha parcel subject to the consolidation of the remaining 1.2 ha with Lot 1Plan 72397.
Note:	The Commission appro property(resolution #44	ved the reconsideration of 99-year lease agreement of 1.2 ha of 2 ha 4/87).

# **RELEVANT APPLICATIONS**

Application ID:	40754	Legacy #: 35086
Applicant: Proposal: Decision:	Frank & Alma Pastorek 0.8 ha homesite severa	nce from the 13.5 ha property.
Resolution #	Decision Date	Decision Description
592/2003	November 19, 2003	Allowed subject to all conditions of the Homesite Severance Policy.

## **RELEVANT APPLICATIONS**

Application ID Applicant: Proposal: Decision:	Phillip & Janet Van I The applicants are s	<b>Legacy #:</b> 30480 Der Veen seeking permission to subdivide an approximate 0.6 ha lot from the subject domesite Severance Policy.
<b>Resolution #</b> 460/1996	Decision Date May 16, 1996	<b>Decision Description</b> That the application to subdivide a parcel of no greater than 0.6 ha in the northeast corner of the property be allowed subject to the installation of a standard five-strand barbed wire fence and the planting of a single row coniferous/broadleaf hedge along the west and south boundaries of the homesite lot and to compliance with the provisions of the Homesite Severance Policy.
Note:		e application located west of the subject property across Sharpe Road. The ed the 0.6 ha homesite severance from a 8 ha parent parcel.

### LOCAL GOVERNMENT INFORMATION

Official Community Plan:		
Bylaw Name:	Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 2352, 2007	
Designation:	Agricultural	
OCP Compliance:	No	

#### Zoning:

Land Use Bylaw No. 1250
Agriculture (AG)
8.0 ha
No

#### **Comments and Recommendations:**

#### **Agricultural Advisory Committee**

The committee supported the application as it was deemed to be in the spirit of the Commission's homesite severance policy. However, the applicants should work with the City to reduce the size of the severed parcel to minimum building offsets on the north and west sides of the barns.

#### Board/Council

Support the request for subdivision based on Option 2 and forward comments to the Commission.

#### **Planning Staff**

Planning staff recommended forwarding the application to the Commission provided that the proposed homesite severance is an adequate size and is conducive to agricultural viability. For this reason, staff recommended Council's consideration of Option 2.

## ALC STAFF COMMENTS

It is recommended that the Commission consider the following:

- The subject property was purchased in 1974, which does not satisfy guideline #1 of the Policy #11 (Homesite Severance on ALR Lands): "A once only severance may be permitted where the applicant submits documentary evidence that he or she has continuously owned and occupied the property as his or her principal place of residence since 21 December 1972."

- Although the previous subdivision of the subject property along Sharpe Road did not involve an approval of the Commission it was approved under Section 10(1)(b) of the Regulation and as such it may fulfill the objective of the Homesite Severance Policy guideline #2 (Policy #11): "Where an applicant for a "homesite severance" has had a previous subdivision application approved by the Commission resulting in the creation of a separate parcel, the Commission may consider the previous approval as having fulfilled the objectives of the Homesite Severance Policy and may deny any further consideration under the Homesite Severance Policy."

- The applicants would like the Commission to consider Option 1, due to the considerable expense of Option 2.

## ATTACHMENTS

52149\_ContextMap20k.pdf 52149\_AgCapabilityMap.pdf 52149\_AirphotoMap20k.pdf 52149\_homesite\_option1.pdf 52149\_homesite\_option2.pdf

# **END OF REPORT**

Prepared by: Eamonn Watson, May 2011