

Agricultural Land Commission Staff Report

DATE: July 26, 2010

TO: Vice Chair and Commissioners - Interior Panel

- FROM: Simone Rivers
- **RE:** Application # 51759
- **PROPOSAL:** To exclude the 2.4 ha property in order to facilitate its subdivision into two lots of approximately 1.2 ha each.

PROPOSAL INFORMATION

Background: One of the lots contains the improvements and the other is largely vacant land.

The applicants originally applied for exclusion in 2008 but the application was deferred by the Regional District due to local opposition. The applicants had initially proposal to divide the property into nine 0.1 ha lots.

Received Date:	May 20, 2010
Applicant:	GWR Resource Inc.
Agent:	Exton & Dodge Land Surveying Inc.
Local Government:	Cariboo Regional District

DESCRIPTION OF LAND

PID:	007-730-098
Legal Description:	Lot A, District Lots 4 and 481, Lillooet District, Plan 20835
Civic Address:	4027 Highway 97, Lac La Hache
Area:	2.2 ha
ALR Area:	2.2 ha
Purchase Date:	September 17, 2007
Owner:	GWR Resource Inc.

Total Land Area:2.2 haTotal ALR Area:2.2 haCurrent Land Use:One residence, a barn, two sheds and two greenhouses

PROPOSAL DETAILS

Exclusion	
Area	Agricultural Capability

Agricultural Capability Source

CLI

Surrounding Land Uses:

NorthOne 2.3 ha residential lotEastOne 4.1 ha residential lot and four 2 ha residential lotsSouthHighway 97 and residential lotsWestResidential lots

Official Community Plan

Bylaw Name:Lac La Hache Area OCP Bylaw No. 3200 (1996)Designation:Serviced Residential (RS)OCP Compliance:Yes

Zoning	
Zoning Bylaw Name:	South Cariboo Area Zoning Bylaw No 3501 (1999)
Zoning Designation:	Residential 2 (R2)
Minimum Lot Size:	0.1 ha
Zoning Compliance:	Yes

RELEVANT APPLICATIONS

Application #: Applicant:	23011 115 Ranch Ltd	
Proposal:	To exclude the 15.8 ha area lying north of the road.	
Decisions: Resolution Number	Decision Date	Decision Description
Note:	Legacy Application # 10437 Resolution # 89/1981 Decision Date: January 27, 19 Decision: Refused as proposed subdivision of the 15.8 ha area	d. However, the Commission would allow the

Application #: Applicant: Proposal:	 12175 115 Ranch Ltd. Request permission to subdivide 40 acres lying north of Highway 97 from the 126 acre property. 	
Decisions: Resolution Number 5416	Decision Date January 13, 1977	Decision Description Refused. The Commission acknowledged the

Note:	Legacy Application # 02864	limitations to agriculture north of the hwy but did not feel there was any physical restriction to its utilization with other parcels north of the highway and the lots should be kept as large as possible.
Application #:	8407	
Applicant:	Provincial Agricultural Land Co	ommission
Proposal:	Block Application - To exclude approximately 700 ha from the Agricultural Land Reserve in the Cariboo Regional District, which had previously been identified by the Commission as appropriate for exclusion because of poor agricultural suitability.	
Decisions: Resolution		
Number	Decision Date	Decision Description
389	July 21, 1997	The Commission agreed to the bulk of the proposal, however, it was not prepared to consider the exclusion of lands south of Horse Lake (proposed by the Regional District) because the property owners had not been notified and the land was too far removed from the areas identified at the hearing. Approximately 650 ha was approved for exclusion essentially those lands proposed, plus small pockets of rural residential lots adjacent to Highway 97 at Lac La Hache and Elliot Lake.
Note:	Legacy Application # 31410	
	This Block Application resulter	d in the exclusion of the lands directly west of the subject

This Block Application resulted in the exclusion of the lands directly west of the subject property.

Committee Recommendations Recommendation Туре Description Board/Council Cariboo Regional District Board: The Regional Approve Board passed a total of four resolutions with respect to this application, starting in August 2008. The first three resolutions deferred decision making on the application to allow the applicant to "consider his options". The final forwarding resolution was passed at a meeting on April 30, 2010 and forwarded the application with a recommendation of support. It also recommened that the Commission require:

1. the applicant to enter into an awareness covenant

		with the Cariboo Regional District to advise that the subject property is located adjacent to land used for agricultural purposes and to establish/maintain fencing along the western boundary of Lot A, District Lots 4 and 481, Lillooet District, Plan 20835. 2. That fencing follows the guidelines prescribed by the Agricultural Land Commission as detailed in Schedule D of Landscapted Buffer Specifications."
Advisory Planning Committee	Approve	Advisory Planning Commission: The APC passed two resolutions for this property.
		The initial resolution, on August 29, 2008 was for a proposal that was not supported by the Regional Board and subsequently not forwarded to the Commission for consideration. The second comment, on April 9, 2010 stated that "Area G APC has no objection to this application providing that the CRD Agriculture fencing policy is adhered to and the applicant discloses the fact that an active agricultural business is operating adjacent to Lot #1"

STAFF COMMENTS

The application was initially submitted in 2008 but tabled by the Regional District several times due to local opposition about the proposed use of the property should it be excluded. The applicants had proposed a subdivision of nine lots, which was allowed by local zoning, but was opposed by community members. The current proposal is to exclude the property and subdivide it into two lots.

The Commission received correspondance in 2008 from neighbouring land owners stating their opposition to the proposal. These same owners provided a letter of support for the current proposal provided there is assurance that the property will not be subdivided further (by placing a restrictive covenant on the lands).

These landowner owners further point out the their property as well as property to the north are large agricultural properties that are currently used for agricultural purposes.

Should the property be excluded from the ALR, the Commission will not be able to control future subdivision of the property. Should the property remain in the ALR, future subdivision requests would need to be made to the Commission.

The Commission may wish to consider requiring fencing and buffering of the north and west boundary of the property should it contemplate allowing exclusion or subdivision.

ATTACHMENTS

51759_ContextMap20k.pdf 51759 ag cap.pdf 51759 airphoto.pdf 51759 comments from neighbours.pdf

END OF REPORT

Signature

Date