

# Agricultural Land Commission Staff Report

DATE: November 16, 2009

**TO:** Vice Chair and Commissioners - Okanagan Panel

FROM: Brandy Ridout

**RE:** Application # 46025

**PROPOSAL:** To exclude the 9.4 ha subject property from the ALR to develop the parcel to an urban

residential use consisting of not less than 55 single family residential units and

approximately 40 low density multiple family residential units.

# PROPOSAL INFORMATION

**Background:** History of ALR applications summarized in Staff Comments.

Received Date: May 28, 2009

Applicant: AL Stober Construction

Agent: N/A

Local Government: City of Kelowna

# **DESCRIPTION OF LAND**

**PID**: 002-422-685

Legal Description: Lot C, Section 28 & 29, Township 26, Osoyoos Division of Yale District,

Plan KAP62558

Civic Address: 2045 Summit Drive, Kelowna

**Area:** 9.4 ha **ALR Area:** 9.4 ha

Purchase Date: August 13, 1998

Owners: AL Stober Construction

E & I Developments Ltd Ermar Estates Ltd. Jabs Construction Ltd.

Total Land Area: 9.4 ha
Total ALR Area: 9.4 ha
Current Land Use: Fruit trees

### PROPOSAL DETAILS

**Exclusion** 

Area Agricultural Agricultural

Capability Capability Source

9.4 Prime BCLI

**Surrounding Land Uses:** 

North Summit Drive, agriculture
East Non-ALR, Dilworth Mountain

South Non-ALR, pre-ALR residential subdivision

West Non-ALR, golf course, church

**Official Community Plan** 

Bylaw Name: Kelowna 2020 OCP Bylaw No. 7600

**Designation:** Rural/Agriculture

OCP Compliance: No

**Zoning** 

Zoning Bylaw Name: Zoning Bylaw No. 8000

**Zoning Designation:** A1-Agricultural

Minimum Lot Size: 2.0 ha Zoning Compliance: No

### PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS

**Application #**: 9498

**Applicant:** Provincial Agricultural Land Commission

**Proposal:** To include approximately 2 ha into the ALR on the grounds the land is used for

orchard purposes.

Decisions: Resolution

Number Decision Date Decision Description

208 March 27, 1998 Did not proceed with inclusion proposal. Owners and

local government submitted information that the inclusion would interfere with completion of a long-standing major street negotiation. Commission staff also discovered that on 21 December 1972, the subject area probably consisted of three separate titles, with each title applying to a parcel containing

less than 0.8 ha.

Application #: 9324

**Applicant:** City of Kelowna

**Proposal:** To dedicate and build an access road to Dilworth Mountain pursuant to past

Commission direction under application #G-28816.

Decisions:

Resolution

Number Decision Date Decision Description

64 January 20, 1998

Allowed the road dedication on the grounds it had previously agreed in principle to the proposal (Resolution #444/1994) subject to fencing along the proposed right or way and the rescission of the previous decision to allow the road and the exclusion of the area to the south of the road (Resolution #444/1994).

The Commission also indicated to the City of Kelowna that should subdivision/consolidation of the properties be considered, it would encourage a configuration whereby the properties north of the proposed road are consolidated into one lot and those south of the proposed road are consolidated into one lot. It is felt this would serve to offset the impact of the road through the subject properties by decreasing the number of existing lots and increasing the net property size. Though not a condition of the decision, the Commission indicated that the inclusion of the non-ALR portion of the properties would be more appropriate by way of a block inclusion application.

**Note:** The subject property was created through this application when the portions of Lots 5

and 6 south of the new road were consolidated (Legacy application #G-31819).

**Application #:** 7858

**Applicant:** Occidental Fruit Co.

**Proposal:** To exclude ten properties located along the valley bottom from the ALR.

Decisions: Resolution

Number Decision Date Decision Description

1416 April 3, 1975 Refused on the ground of agricultural capability.

**Note:** The area of the subject property was included in this application (Legacy application

#74-0516).

Application #: 7397

Applicant: Vector Developments Ltd

**Proposal:** To exclude Lot 5, 6, and 7, Block 8, Plan 896 (17.5 ha) from the ALR on the grounds

that the land is not suitable for agriculture due to severe spring frosts and that a

proposed access road to Dilworth Mountain will split up the orchard.

Decisions: Resolution

Number Decision Date Decision Description

**Note:** Refused by Resolution #1282/1982 on the grounds that the property is suitable for

agriculture as demonstrated by its agricultural capability rating of predominantly Class

2 and 3.

The area of the subject property was included in this application (Legacy application

#G-13722).

**Application #**: 6975

**Applicant:** City of Kelowna

**Proposal:** Block Application - Requested exclusion of 233.5 ha.

Decisions: Resolution

Number Decision Date Decision Description

December 22, 1988 Partial Approval. Cabinet approved exclusion of 220.3

ha by OIC and refused 13.2 ha by Notice-of-

Determination. This resolution number is used to enter statistical information relating to the area under application and subsequent decision by Cabinet.

**Note:** With respect to Palmer Road, the Commission felt this part of the application was

premature. The Commission allowed the Glenmore Valley component of the block application except for the three parcels intended to accommodate the relocation of Palmer Road and required that the City submit a separate application when

preliminary engineering drawings had been prepared (Legacy application #G-22099).

Application #: 2094

Applicant: AL Stober Construction

**Proposal:** To exclude 6.6 ha from the three subject properties (Lots 5, 6 and 7) for the dedication

and construction of Summit Drive and residential uses.

Decisions: Resolution

Number Decision Date Decision Description

**Note:** Refused by Resolution #444/1994. However, the Commission has agreed to allow the

development of an access route through the area in question and for this reason the Commission will authorize the realignment of Palmer Road along either UMA's alignment #5c or a new alternate alignment proposed by the Commission (see sketch A) and the subsequent exclusion of the approved road and the ALR land located to the south, subject to the following conditions:

- the closure of the existing Palmer Road alignment.

- the consolidation of the closed portion of the existing Palmer Road alignment into a single parcel together with all portions of the subject properties located to the north of the approved road and west of the new access to Block 22.

-the placement of an easement over the closed portion of the existing Palmer Road alignment granting access to the area for farm purposes.

- the placement of the access road to Block 22 as far to the east as practical within the limits of good design.

- the inclusion of the non-ALR portions of the subject properties located north of the approved Palmer Road realignment and west of the access road to Block 22.

-the installation of a continuous Schedule A.2 buffer including Schedule D.1 fencing along the south side of the approved road realignment

along the south side of the approved road realignment.

This application was later rescinded by Resolution #64/1998 from application #G-31819.

Committee Recommendations				
Туре	Recommendation	Description		
Planning Staff  Board/Council	Approve	City of Kelowna Planning: Recommend support. The City of Kelowna Agriculture Plan (1998) clearly supports the application as follows: "Lands to the south of the proposed extension of Summit Drive from Valley Road to Dilworth Mountain has been previously identified as future development land for the logical extension of the Golfview subdivision, with the new road forming the urban-rural boundary. Land Commission support for this ideal was predicated on a road location as far south as possible. Subsequently, a more northerly road location was agreed upon, however, the Land Commission approval of the road location required that the land south of the road be consolidated and remain in the ALR. Previous City consideration of this idea was originally part of the exercise in negotiating the purchase of the road right of way and it is felt that the City position should not change as an indication of good faith in this matter, but the OCP should be amended to reflect the retention of this land in the ALR at this time."  City of Kelowna Council: Support.		
Agricultural Advisory Committee	Refuse	City of Kelowna AAC: Do not support. The AAC does not see this application as a benefit to agriculture and raised concern with the amount of good farm land being taken out of the ALR. The AAC considers this large parcel ideal for farming given its large size, suitable soil conditions, and accessibility to consumers, which would facilitate		

#### STAFF COMMENTS

- Gerry Tonn of Al Stober Construction Ltd., requested that consideration of the application be deferred to November or December 2009.

farm retail sales.

- There have been numerous previous applications involving the subject property a road alignment (allowed), two exclusion applications (both refused on the grounds that the land has agricultural capability), a block exclusion application (not decided upon as was premature given the road alignment issues) and an inclusion application (not proceeded with).
- As a result of the previous applications, the Commission has already considered the exclusion of the area of the subject property on four occasions:
- 1. As part of an application to exclude 10 lots (1974)
- 2. As part of an application to exclude Lots 5, 6, and 7 (1981)
- 3. As part of a block exclusion application (1988)
- 4. As part of the Summit Road alignment (1998).
- The subject property was originally part of a block of three lots that were farmed as a unit (Lots 5, 6 and 7). Access to Dilworth Mountain via Summit Drive was created through the three lots and the area south of the road became the subject property.
- Summit Drive was required as part of the Land Use Contract on Dilworth Mountain to provide a second access to the subdivision. The City and the ALC had lengthy negotiations over the final alignment of the road. Notably, the developer wanted the road as far north as possible to maximize the anticipated amount of land excluded from the ALR to complete the Golfview Estates subdivision. However, the City's Transportation Department had concerns about the engineering standards of the road. As a result, the final alignment was a compromise.
- At one time the Commission was amenable to the idea of excluding the portion of the property that

would lie to the south of a new access road as the area would be relatively small. However, when the final design was presented, the road was located so far north that the area to the south was over 9 ha and no longer met with the Commission's intention to allow the exclusion of a small area to have the road be the edge between ALR and non-ALR. The area to the south of the road remained of sufficient size and quality to be retained in the ALR as a stand-alone agricultural operation.

- The applicants indicate that as the parcel proposed for exclusion is bounded by an arterial roadway, there is a natural buffer between the agricultural lands to the north of the parcel and the urban residential uses proposed on the parcel itself. Additional buffering on the lands to the north, also owned by the applicants, would be considered to mitigate the impacts of Summit Drive on agricultural activities.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

proposal.pdf
March 23, 2009 letter.pdf
AAC.pdf
opposition letter.pdf
39039\_ContextMap20k.pdf
air photo.pdf
ag cap.pdf
Land Use Management Department comments.pdf
Sept 30, 08 letter from applicant.pdf
April 16, 09 letter from applicant.pdf

END OF REPORT		
Signature	 Date	