



**Agricultural Land Commission**  
133-4940 Canada Way  
Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6  
Tel: 604 660-7000  
Fax: 604 660-7033  
www.alc.gov.bc.ca

October 8, 2008

Reply to the attention of Simone Rivers  
ALC File: W-38333

Peace Communications Cable Laying Ltd.  
SS2 - Site 7, Comp 14  
Fort St. John, BC V1J4M7

Dear Sir:

Re: **Application to Subdivide within the Agricultural Land Reserve**

Please find attached the Minutes of Resolution # 591/2008 outlining the Commission's decision as it relates to the above noted application.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

  
Erik Karlsen, Chair

cc: Peace River Regional District (93/2008)

Enclosure: Minutes

MC/  
38333d1



## **Discussion**

### **Assessment of Agricultural Capability**

In assessing agricultural capability, the Commission refers in part to agricultural capability mapping and ratings. The ratings are interpreted using the Canada Land Inventory (CLI), 'Soil Capability Classification for Agriculture' system.

The agricultural capability of the soil of the subject property is;

Class 2 – Land in this class has minor limitations that require good ongoing management practices or slightly restrict the range of crops, or both.

The above rating indicates that the land has good capability for agricultural development.

### **Assessment of Agricultural Suitability**

The Commission assessed whether external factors such as encroaching non-farm development have caused or will cause the land to become unsuitable for agriculture. The Commission does not believe there are external factors that render the land unsuitable for agricultural use.

### **Assessment of Impact on Agriculture**

The Commission also assessed the impact of the proposal against the long term goal of preserving agricultural land. The Commission believes the proposal would negatively affect the agricultural use of the surrounding lands because the subdivision would result in the alienation of potentially arable land and could potentially create residential/farm conflicts. These conflicts typically arise from the incompatible goals of the resident and farmer, and may result in the cessation of agricultural activity (or prevent agricultural development).

### **Conclusions**

1. That the land under application has agricultural capability, is appropriately designated as ALR, and is suitable for agricultural use.
2. That the proposal will have a negative impact on agriculture.
3. That the proposal is inconsistent with the objective of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* to preserve agricultural land.

### **IT WAS**

**MOVED BY:** Commissioner W. Norton

**SECONDED BY:** Commissioner J. Kendrew

THAT the application be refused.

AND THAT the applicant be advised of the provisions of Section 33 of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* which provides an applicant with the opportunity to submit a request for reconsideration.

- S.33 (1) *On the written request of a person affected or on the commission's own initiative, the commission may reconsider a decision of the commission under this Act and may confirm, reverse or vary it if the commission determines that*
- (a) evidence not available at the time of the original decision has become available,*
  - (b) all or part of the original decision was based on evidence that was in error or was false.*
- (2) *The commission must give notice of its intention to reconsider a decision under subsection (1) to any person that the commission considers is affected by the reconsideration.*

AND THAT the applicant be advised that a revised proposal does not constitute new information and will not be considered as a basis for reconsideration and the time limit for submitting a request for reconsideration is one (1) year from the date of the decision letter.

**CARRIED**  
**Resolution # 591/2008**