



Agricultural Land Commission
133-4940 Canada Way
Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6
Tel: 604 660-7000
Fax: 604 660-7033
www.alc.gov.bc.ca

October 28, 2008

Reply to the attention of Jennifer Carson
ALC File: F-38317

Liam Crawford
PO Box 1026 Stn Main
Nelson, BC V1L6H3

Dear Mr. Crawford:

Re: Application to Exclude land from the Agricultural Land Reserve

Please find attached the Minutes of Resolution # 645/2008 outlining the Commission's decision as it relates to the above noted application.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per: 

Erik Karlsen, Chair

Enclosure: Minutes

cc: Regional District of Central Kootenay (4035-20-A0819A-05367)

JC/
i/38317d1

Discussion

Assessment of Agricultural Capability

In assessing agricultural capability, the Commission refers in part to agricultural capability mapping and ratings. The ratings are interpreted using the Canada Land Inventory (CLI), 'Soil Capability Classification for Agriculture' system, or the BC Land Inventory (BCLI), 'Land Capability Classification for Agriculture in B.C.' system.

The agricultural capability of the soil of the subject property is

Class 3 – Land in this class has limitations that require moderately intensive management practices or moderately restrict the range of crops, or both.

Subclass

C adverse climate

Assessment of Agricultural Suitability

The Commission assessed whether external factors such as encroaching non-farm development have caused or will cause the land to become unsuitable for agriculture. The Commission does not believe there are external factors that render the land unsuitable for agricultural use.

Assessment of Impact on Agriculture

The Commission also assessed the impact of the proposal against the long term goal of preserving agricultural land. In the Commission's view, reduction of parcel size generally reduces the available options for agricultural use. The Commission believed that the subject parcel had more agricultural potential as a single unit and that subdivision would negatively impact the agricultural opportunities available to the subject property in the long-term. Further, the Commission believed that subdivision of the subject parcel would encourage further parcelization of properties within agricultural areas and may impact agricultural use of surrounding lands. The intent of the Act is to preserve and protect agricultural lands and farm communities in the long-term and the Commission felt that subdivision of the subject property as proposed was not in keeping with that mandate

Conclusions

1. That the land under application has agricultural capability and is appropriately designated as ALR.
2. That the land under application is suitable for agricultural use.
3. That the proposal will impact agriculture.
4. That the proposal is inconsistent with the objective of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* to preserve agricultural land.

IT WAS

MOVED BY: Commissioner Purdy
SECONDED BY: Commissioner Griffin

THAT the application be refused.

AND THAT the applicant be advised of the provisions of Section 33 of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* which provides an applicant with the opportunity to submit a request for reconsideration.

- S.33 (1) On the written request of a person affected or on the commission's own initiative, the commission may reconsider a decision of the commission under this Act and may confirm, reverse or vary it if the commission determines that*
- (a) evidence not available at the time of the original decision has become available,*
 - (b) all or part of the original decision was based on evidence that was in error or was false.*
- (2) The commission must give notice of its intention to reconsider a decision under subsection (1) to any person that the commission considers is affected by the reconsideration.*

AND THAT the applicant be advised that a revised proposal does not constitute new information and will not be considered as a basis for reconsideration and the time limit for submitting a request for reconsideration is one (1) year from the date of the decision letter.

CARRIED
Resolution # 645/2008