

Staff Report Application # V - 37770

Applicant: Don and Marilyne Cristante Location: Highway #97 Summerland

DATE RECEIVED: October 26, 2007

DATE PREPARED: November 21, 2007

TO:

Chair and Commissioners - Okanagan Panel

FROM:

Brandy Ridout, Land Use Planner

PROPOSAL:

To subdivide the 9.3 ha subject property into two (2) lots; 6.9 ha lying

wholly in the ALR, and a 2.4 ha homesite lot lying primarily outside the ALR.

This application is made pursuant to section 21(2) of the Agricultural Land

Commission Act.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

No previous applications have been considered on the subject property.

Local Government:

The Corporation of the District of Summerland

Legal Description of Property:

PID: 012-406-368

Lot 5, District Lot 2194, Osoyoos Division of Yale District, Plan 267, EXCEPT Plans B3577,

10386, 41387 and H577

Purchase Date:

1956-07-01

Location of Property:

20001 Matsu Drive, Summerland

Size of Property:

9.3 ha (approximately 7 ha lies in the ALR).

Present use of the Property:

Orchard, Residence, Out Buildings

Surrounding Land Uses:

WEST: Highway #97 and non ALR mountainside

SOUTH: Farmland in the ALR EAST: Farmland in the ALR

NORTH: Rural Residential outside the ALR /Farm within the ALR

Agricultural Capability:

Data Source: Agricultural Capability Map # 82E.062

The majority of the property is identified as having prime dominant ratings.

Official Community Plan and Designation:

OCP: Bylaw No. 96 (2001) Designation: F-Farmland

Zoning Bylaw and Designation:

Zoning: Bylaw No. 99 (2001) Designation: A1 - Agriculture Minimum Lot Size: 2.0 ha

PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS:

Application #75-1107-0

Applicant:

Christante, Don Decision Date: November 19, 1975

Proposal:

To subdivide the 8 ha property into two lots

Decision:

Refuse as proposed, because of reduced agricultural capability

LOCAL GOVERNMENT RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS:

Council for the District of Summerland forwarded the application with a recommendation of support

Agricultural Advisory Committee supported the application

Local Government Staff do not support the application believing the subdivision of the ALR portion of the property containing the farm structures necessitated their replacement on the ALR remainder. In addition staff believed that the proposed subdivision represented an intrusion into the farm unit. The topography of the site is more suited to retaining the 0.4 ha ALR area with the farm unit.

STAFF COMMENTS:

Staff suggests that the Commission consider the following:

- The applicant qualifies for consideration under Homesite Severance Policy (having purchase the property in 1956), but does not appear to require homesite subdivision within the ALR.
- The separation of the farm buildings from the agricultural remnant may result in their replacement on productive land, reducing the land's agricultural capacity.
- If subdivision is permitted, the landowner could, eventually subdivide the 0.4 ha ALR portion of the 2 ha homesite lot without reference to the Commission. A 0.4 ha lot could represent a residential intrusion into the farm remnant.

ATTACHMENTS:

- ALR map and airphoto District of Summerland sketch maps

END OF REPORT

Signature

low 27/07