

June 28, 2006

#### Agricultural Land Commission 133–4940 Canada Way Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6 Tel: 604-660-7000 Fax: 604-660-7033 www.alc.gov.bc.ca

Reply to the attention of Gordon Bednard

Laurie Gourlay and Jackie Moad 2689 Cedar Road Nanaimo, BC V9X 1K3

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re:

Application # S-36605

PID: 010-320-407

Lot A, Section 5, Range 2, Cedar District, Plan 46461

The Provincial Agricultural Land Commission (the "Commission") has now concluded its review of your application to renovate an existing barn structure to create accommodation for farm help. The application was submitted pursuant to section 20(3) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act*.

The Commission wishes to thank you for taking the time to meet with its representatives on June 5, 2006 at the property. The Commission found the meeting and site visit informative.

The Commission appreciates your interest and agricultural development ideas. However, the Commission finds it difficult to approve additional dwellings when the current level of agricultural production on a farm property is somewhat low, and both owners work off-site. This is not the usual situation when a second dwelling is requested. Normally such a request is received when one or both of the owners are working full time on the farm and the demands of their particular type of farming makes it necessary for on-farm full-time help to expand operations.

For these reasons, the Commission refused your application as proposed. The Commission will reconsider this application should the level of agricultural production in the future warrant such a review.

The decision noted above is recorded as Resolution # 292/2006.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

Erik Kansen, Chair

pc: Regional District of Nanaimo - Attn: Norma Stumborg

GB/lv/36605d1

Resolution # 292/2006 Application # S- 36605-0

# MINUTES OF THE PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Minutes of a meeting held by the Provincial Agricultural Land Commission (the "Commission") on June 6, 2006 at Courtenay, B.C.

PRESENT:

Lorne Seitz

Chair

David Craven

Commissioner

Donald Rugg

Commissioner

STAFF:

Gordon Bednard, Regional Research Officer

## For Consideration

Gordon Bednard presented his staff report dated May 29, 2006 regarding application #S-36605-0.

# Site Inspection

A site inspection was conducted on June 5, 2006. Those in attendance were:

- Commissioners Seitz, Craven & Rugg
- Agricultural Land Commission Staff: Gordon Bednard, Regional Research Officer
- Jackie Moad

The Commission toured the property and discussed the proposal with the applicant.

#### **Commission Discussion**

The Commission appreciates the interest and agricultural development ideas of the applicants. However, the Commission finds it difficult to approve additional dwellings when the current level of agricultural production on a farm property is somewhat low, and both owners work off-site. This is not the usual situation when a second dwelling is requested. Normally such a request is received when one or both of the owners are working full time on the farm and the demands of their particular type of farming makes it necessary for on-farm full-time help to expand operations.

**IT WAS** 

MOVED BY:

Commissioner David Craven

SECONDED BY:

Commissioner Donald Rugg

That the staff report be received and the application be refused for the reasons stated above. The Commission would be willing to reconsider this application when the level of production warrants such a review.



# Staff Report Application # S – 36605-0 Applicant: Laurie Gourlay / Jackie Moad

**DATE PREPARED:** 

May 29, 2006

TO:

Chair and Commissioners - Island Panel

FROM:

Gordon Bednard, Regional Research Officer

PROPOSAL:

To renovate an existing barn structure to create accommodation for farm

help.

This application is made pursuant to section 20(3) of the Agricultural Land

Commission Act.

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

There already appears to be two dwellings on this property - the main residence and a cabin. The need for more accommodation should be clarified with the applicant.

The applicants are also referring to the provision of accommodation for Bed and Breakfast on the property, although no specific number of beds, locations, or plans are included in the application again, this may need clarification with the applicants as to how much additional accommodation they plan for this property, give its modest size and present limited agricultural development. Both applicants work off the farm, which is listed as a reason on-farm help is needed.

#### **Local Government:**

Regional District of Nanaimo

## **Legal Description of Property:**

PID: 010-320-407

Lot A, Section 5, Range 2, Cedar District, Plan 46461

## Purchase Date (m/d/y):

06/01/2004

#### **Location of Property:**

2689 Cedar Road, Nanaimo

#### **Size of Property:**

8 ha (The entire property is in the ALR).

#### **ALR Area under application:**

0.2 ha

## Present use of the Property:

residence, cabin/studio, 3 barns (one being the subject of this application), various sheds, forested land and hay fields

## Surrounding Land Uses:

WEST: forested and developed farm properties SOUTH: forested land and large farm properties

EAST: forested land and hayfields, farms and rural residences beyond

NORTH: rural residential, Cedar road, mixed residential and farm properties beyond

#### **Agricultural Capability:**

Data Source: Agricultural Capability Map # 92G.001

The majority of the property is identified as having Prime Dominant ratings.

### Official Community Plan and Designation:

Rural Residential

#### **Zoning Bylaw and Designation:**

Rural -4 2 ha MLS

# PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS:

Application #19132-0

Applicant:

Wallace, Gregory

**Decision Date:** June 1985

Proposal:

Establish a legal access to a landlocked parcel (present subject property)

Decision:

Allowed

#### RELEVANT APPLICATIONS:

Application #21958-0	
Applicant:	Little, Ernest ans Eileen
<b>Decision Date:</b>	April 29, 1988
Proposal:	To construct an additional permanent dwelling which is better suited to the applicants who are having health problems and cannot easily utilize the existing house.
Decision:	Allowed provided one one of the existing dwelling units is removed, made uninhabitable or converted for farm use. The intent of the Commission's decision is to have only two dwellings on the subject property.

Application #31973-0

Wood, Michael & Elaine Applicant:

Decision Date: June 30, 1998

Proposal:

To construct a second permanent dwelling, approximately 2000 sq. ft., for the applicant's mother and father. This would allow the applicant to continue her

career off the farm.

Decision:

Refused based on the small size of the property and the limited level of

agricultural activity on the property.

	973-1
Applicant:	Wood, Michael & Elaine
Decision Date:	June 21, 1999
Proposal:	To construct a second permanent dwelling for the co-owners of the property.
Decision:	Refused on the grounds that present level of agricultural activity on the farm does warrant the necessity for a second permanent dwelling.
Application #34	616-0
Applicant:	Wallace, Greg
Decision Date:	January 22, 2003
Proposal:	Greg Wallace is requesting permission to subdivide his 8 ha property along Ceda road which would create a .7 ha piece north of the road and a 7.3 ha remainder. Mr Wallace has been joint tennants on the property with his father since 1970 and is applying under the homesite severance policy of the Commission.
Decision:	Allow the subdivision of the 8 ha property along the road to form one 0.7 ha lot and a 7.3 ha remainder.
LOCAL GOVER	NMENT RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS:
_	The state of the s
Forwarded witho	ut comment
Forwarded without STAFF COMME Staff recommend farm to determine applicants work of	ut comment
Forwarded without STAFF COMME Staff recommend farm to determine applicants work of	NTS:  Is an onsite meeting with the applicants and a discussion of their goals for the e if the additional accommodation is warranted. At present the fact that both off the farm and the level of agricultural development is somewhat limited leads us about allowing additional dwellings until a need is proven.