



CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

Under [Section 27 of the Agricultural Land Commission Act](#) the ALC, by resolution, may establish criteria under which the CEO may approve applications for exclusion, subdivision or non-farm use and applications with respect to specified regions of British Columbia. If the CEO considers that the application does not meet the criteria specified, or for any other reason does not wish to approve the application, the application must be referred by the Chair to the applicable regional panel for a decision. An approval of an application by the CEO is a decision of the ALC for the purposes of the *ALC Act*.

The Chief Executive Officer Delegated Authority Criteria are:

1. Exclusion, subdivision, and non-farm use applications that fulfill a requirement of the Commission contained in a previous decision made by resolution.
2. Exclusion, subdivision, and non-farm use applications that are consistent with a specific planning decision of the Commission made by resolution (e.g.: Peace River- Fort St. John Comprehensive Development Plan).

Clarification: This criterion for decision-making does not include general comments or endorsement of the Commission regarding Official Community Plans, Zoning Bylaws or their respective amendments.

3. Non-farm use applications made necessary by minor deviations from the permitted uses identified in sections 2 and 3 of BC Regulation #171/2002 (ALR Use, Subdivision and Procedure Regulation).
4. Non-farm use applications made pursuant to section 6 of BC Regulation #171/2002 (ALR Use, Subdivision and Procedure Regulation), except for those relating to recreational trails.

Clarification: Applications made under Section 6 of BC Regulation #171/2002 (ALR Use, Subdivision and Procedure Regulation) and Section 20(3) of the ALCA are considered to be non-farm use applications. As such, Section 27 (1)(a) of the ALCA which delegates authority to the CEO to consider non-farm use applications is interpreted to encompass applications made under s. 6 of the Regulation.

5. *Repealed February 26, 2016*
6. *Repealed February 26, 2016*
7. Subdivision applications for boundary adjustments that are consistent with the intent of section 10 BC Regulation #171/2002 (ALR Use, Subdivision and Procedure Regulation) but cannot be approved by the local approving officer due to the limitations on parcel size and on the number of parcels involved in the proposed boundary line adjustment.

Clarification: This criterion for decision-making does not include permission for the CEO to consider boundary adjustment subdivisions of non-contiguous parcels.

8. Requests for variations or removal of conditions of approval imposed by the Commission by resolution in exclusion, subdivision, and non-farm use applications that are consistent with the intent of the Commission's original decision.

Clarification: Applications made under Section 6 of BC Regulation #171/2002 (ALR Use, Subdivision and Procedure Regulation) and Section 20(3) of the ALCA are considered to be non-farm use applications. As such, Section 27 (1)(a) of the ALCA which delegates authority to the CEO to consider non-farm use applications is interpreted to encompass applications made under s. 6 of the Regulation.

9. *Repealed February 26, 2016*

10. *Repealed February 26, 2016*

11. *Repealed February 26, 2016*

12. Subdivision applications that are consistent with the provisions and intent of the Commission's Homesite Severance Policy.

13. *Repealed February 26, 2016*

14. Exclusion, subdivision, and non-farm use applications that are not consistent with any of the existing approved criteria (Criteria 1 – 13) but nonetheless are minor in nature and in the opinion of the CEO, the interests of the Commission would be unaffected by an approval of the application.