

December 10, 2012

Agricultural Land Commission

133-4940 Canada Way Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6 Tel: 604 660-7000

Fax: 604 660-7033 www.alc.aov.bc.ca

ALC File: # 52613

John Steward Haves Notary Corporation 38164 Cleveland Avenue Squamish, BC V8B 0B6

Dear Mr. Steward:

Application to Subdivide Land in the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) Re:

Please find attached the Minutes of Resolution # 411/2012 as it relates to the above noted application. As agent, it is your responsibility to notify your client(s) accordingly.

The Commission draws your attention to Section 33(1) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act which provides an applicant with the opportunity to submit a request for reconsideration based on specific criteria.

- 33(1) On the written request of a person affected or on the commission's own initiative, the commission may reconsider a decision of the commission under this Act and may confirm, reverse or vary it if the commission determines that:
 - (a) evidence not available at the time of the original decision has become available,
 - (b) all or part of the original decision was based on evidence that was in error or was false.

A revised proposal does not constitute new evidence and as such is not a basis for reconsideration. The time limit for submitting a request for reconsideration is one (1) year from the date of this letter.

Further correspondence with respect to this application is to be directed to Eamonn Watson (Eamonn.Watson@gov.bc.ca).

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

Brian Underhill, Executive Director

Enclosure:

Minutes of Resolution #411/2012

cc: District of Squamish (6410.18.9) Erika & Rolf Rutishauser, 4311 Paradise Valley Rd, Box 406, Squamish, BC, V8B 0A4

52613d1



MINUTES OF THE PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

A meeting was held by the Provincial Agricultural Land Commission on September 20, 2012 at the offices of the Commission located at #133 – 4940 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C. as it relates to Application #52613.

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

Richard Bullock Chair Vice-Chair Jennifer Dyson Vice-Chair Gordon Gillette Svlvia Pranger Vice-Chair **Bert Miles** Commissioner Jim Johnson Commissioner Jerry Thibeault Commissioner Commissioner Lucille Dempsey Denise Dowswell Commissioner Jim Collins Commissioner

COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:

Ron Wallace Land Use Planner
Eamonn Watson Land Use Planner
Brian Underhill Executive Director
Colin Fry Executive Director

PROPOSAL

To subdivide the subject property into three (3) approximately 1.9 hectare lots. The proposed subdivision would allow the applicants' to provide each of their two sons with a lot. The proposed lot configuration would allow for the existing residences to remain in their current location while also providing access from Paradise Valley Road. The northerly proposed lot would gain access from a gazetted road along the northern property boundary and a new residence would be constructed on this lot.

(Submitted pursuant to section 21(2) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act)

LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT FOR COMMISSION CONSIDERATION

Section 6 (Purposes of the commission) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* states:

- 6 The following are the purposes of the commission:
 - (a) to preserve agricultural land;
 - (b) to encourage farming on agricultural land in collaboration with other communities of interest; and
 - (c) to encourage local governments, first nations, the government and its agents to enable and accommodate farm use of agricultural land and uses compatible with agriculture in their plans, bylaws and policies.

COMMISSION CONSIDERATION

After considering the information the Commission concluded as follows:

1. To assess the agricultural capability of the property, the Commission referred to Canada Land Inventory (CLI) mapping, 'Land Capability Classification for Agriculture in Canada' system. The improved agricultural capability rating applicable to the subject property is:

Class 4 – Land in this class has limitations that require special management practices or severely restrict the range of crops, or both;

The subclass limitations are (M) moisture deficiency (droughtiness) and (P) stoniness.

Conclusion: The property is comprised of land with good agricultural capability.

2. The mandate of the Commission is to preserve agricultural land and to encourage farming within the Agricultural Land Reserve. The applicant does not provide any agricultural rationale for the proposed subdivision.

Conclusion: The proposed subdivision is inconsistent with the objective of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* to preserve and encourage agriculture.

IT WAS

MOVED BY: SECONDED BY: Commissioner Dyson Commissioner Miles

THAT the request be approved.

CARRIED Resolution #411/2012