

Agricultural Land Commission

133-4940 Canada Way Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6 Tel: 604-660-7000 Fax: 604-660-7033

www.alc.gov.bc.ca

August 16, 2007

Reply to the attention of Brandy Ridout ALC File: # V - 37477

John & Sheila Owens 120 Panorama Ridge Penticton, BC V2A 8V6

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Owens:

Application to Exclude land from the Agricultural Land Reserve Re:

Please find attached the Minutes of Resolution # 352/2007 outlining the Commission's decision as it relates to the above noted application.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL ÁGRÍCULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

Erik Kaklsen, Chair

cc: Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (H-07-00900-060)

Enclosure: Minutes

BR/lv/37477d1



A meeting was held by the Provincial Agricultural Land Commission on July 20, 2007 in Vernon, BC.

PRESENT: Sue Irvine

Monika Marshall

Erik Karlsen

Brandy Ridout

Chair, Okanagan Panel

Chair, Kootenay Panel Chair, ALC

Staff

ABSENT:

Sid Sidhu

Commissioner

For Consideration

Application:

V- 37477

Applicant:

John & Sheila Owens

Proposal:

To exclude the 5.1 ha subject property in order to facilitate

recreational use or to subdivide into parcels ranging in size from 0.4

ha to 1.2 ha.

Legal:

PID: 026-540-690

Lot B. District Lot 1133, Yale Division Yale District, Plan KAP79990

Location:

Coalmont Road, Rural Princeton, Electoral Area "H"

Site Inspection

A site inspection was conducted on July 19, 2007. Those in attendance were:

Sue Irvine

Chair, Okanagan Panel

Monika Marshall

Chair, Kootenay Panel

Erik Karlsen

Chair, ALC

Brandy Ridout

Staff

John & Sheila Owens

Applicants

Mr. Owens confirmed that the staff report dated July 9, 2007 was received and no errors were identified.

Context

The proposal was weighed against the purposes of the Commission as stipulated in section 6 of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act* (the "Act"). They are:

- 1. to preserve agricultural land
- 2. to encourage farming on agricultural land in collaboration with other communities of interest, and
- 3. to encourage local governments, first nations, the government and its agents to enable and accommodate farm use of agricultural land and uses compatible with agriculture in their plans, bylaws and policies.

Discussion

Assessment of Agricultural Capability

In assessing agricultural capability, the Commission refers in part to agricultural capability mapping and ratings. The ratings are interpreted using the Canada Land Inventory (CLI), 'Soil Capability Classification for Agriculture' system, or the BC Land Inventory (BCLI), 'Land Capability Classification for Agriculture in B.C.' system.

The agricultural capability of the soil of the subject property is 60% Class 4 with limitations of stoniness and soil moisture deficiency and 40% Class 6 with limitations of topography.

- Class 4 Land in this class has limitations that require special management practices or severely restrict the range of crops, or both.
- Class 6 Land in this class is non-arable but is capable of producing native and or uncultivated perennial forage crops.

Assessment of Agricultural Suitability

With regard to limits on agricultural suitability, the Commission noted the relatively small size of the subject property and its location in an area that is mainly ranching and often requires larger parcels. However, the Commission recalled the subdivision history of the 5.1 ha subject property noting that the property was originally part of a 72.8 ha lot which was subdivided along the Princeton-Coalmont Road. The 11 ha lot created by this subdivision was later approved for subdivision into 2 lots. As the subject property is one of those lots, the Commission did not believe that the small size of the subject property could be used as rationale to allow its exclusion and further development.

The Commission assessed whether other factors such as encroaching non-farm development have caused or will cause the land to become unsuitable for agriculture. It did not believe that there were other factors which would render the property unsuitable for agriculture.

Assessment of Impact on Agriculture

The Commission also assessed the impact of the proposal against the long term goal of preserving agricultural land.

While the Commission had previously allowed the subdivision requests as it did not believe subdivision would have a negative impact on agriculture, it was concerned with exclusion of the subject property. Although the 5.1 ha area has challenges to both capability and suitability, the Commission was concerned about the impact exclusion of the subject property would have on agriculture in the area. Because similarly capable lands exist around the subject property, the Commission was not prepared to allow exclusion of the subject property. In general, it did not support the piecemeal exclusion of properties in this area.

Page 3 of 3 Resolution # 352/2007 Application # V-37477

IT WAS

MOVED BY: Commissioner Irvine SECONDED BY: Commissioner Marshall

THAT the application be refused as proposed

CARRIED Resolution # 352/2007



August 15, 2007

Agricultural Land Commission 133–4940 Canada Way

Burnaby, British Columbia V5G 4K6 Tel: 604-660-7000

Fax: 604-660-7033 www.alc.gov.bc.ca

Reply to the attention of Brandy Ridout ALC Files: #V-37473, #V-37279 & #V-37477

Susanne Theurer
Planning Services Manager
Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen
101 Martin Street
Penticton, BC V2A 5J9

Dear Ms. Theurer:

Re: Agricultural Land Reserve Applications

During its last trip, the Commission dealt with three applications in the Princeton area. Please find the decisions enclosed.

Letters of non-support for the two subdivision applications were received from the Director of Electoral Area 'H'. Issues cited included lot size, neighbourhood characteristics, views, and random development patterns. While the Commission appreciates all comments from local governments, it approved the requested subdivisions as it did not believe that there would be a negative impact on agriculture due to the limited agricultural capability of the soil and the small size of the parcels.

Each decision of the Commission is qualified by the following statement: "This decision does not relieve the owner or occupier of the responsibility to comply with applicable Acts, regulations, bylaws of the local government, and decisions and orders of any person or body having jurisdiction over the land under an enactment." As such, an application that has been approved by the Commission can be refused by a local government by exercising zoning powers. In addition, at the beginning of the application process, if applications do not meet with planning, local governments are able to not forward them to the Commission.

The Commission welcomes the opportunity to discuss this matter with members of the local government at the next Union of British Columbia Municipalities (UBCM) meeting.

Yours truly,

PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION

Per:

Erik Karlsen, Chair

cc: Gerri Logan, Director, Electoral Area 'H',

BR/lv/Enclosure: Minutes

37473d1



Staff Report Application # V – 37477 Applicant: John & Sheila Owens Location: rural Princeton

DATE RECEIVED: June 8, 2007

DATE PREPARED: July 9, 2007

TO: Chair and Commissioners – Okanagan Panel

FROM: Brandy Ridout, Land Use Planner

PROPOSAL: To exclude the 5.1 ha subject property in order to facilitate recreational use

or to subdivide into parcels ranging in size from 0.4 ha to 1.2 ha.

This application is made pursuant to section 30(1) of the Agricultural Land

Commission Act.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Commission has dealt with several applications on this property. Originally refusing subdivision along the road, the Commission later allowed the subdivision. It also allowed the subdivision of the area west of the road into two smaller lots. The subject property is the larger of the two lots.

Local Government:

Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS)

Legal Description of Property:

PID: 026-540-690

Lot B, District Lot 1133, Yale Division Yale District, Plan KAP79990

Purchase Date:

March 1992

Location of Property:

Coalmont Road, Rural Princeton, Electoral Area "H"

Size of Property:

5.1 ha (The entire property is in the ALR).

Present use of the Property:

Vacant, not in use

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Surrounding Land Uses:

Vacant Land/Coalmont Road SOUTH:

Coalmont Road SOUTH: NORTH: Vacant Land

Rock Bluff, Crown Land NORTH:

Agricultural Capability:

Data Source: Agricultural Capability Map # 92H/7

The majority of the property is identified as having Secondary ratings.

Official Community Plan and Designation:

Electoral Area "H". Princeton Rural RLUB, Bylaw No. 1725 (1997)

Designation: Large Holdings (LH)

Zoning Bylaw and Designation:

Zonina: N/A Designation: N/A Minimum Lot Size: 4 ha

PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS:

Application #27338-0

John & Sheila Owens Applicant:

Decision Date: April 8, 1993

To subdivide the eastern 40 ha of the 72.8 ha property into eight 2.0 ha lots. Proposal:

Refused due to impact on agriculture. Decision:

Application #27338-1

John & Sheila Owens Applicant:

March 29, 1995 **Decision Date:**

To subdivide an approximately 11 ha parcel of land located to the west of the Proposal:

Princeton-Coalmont Road.

Reconfirmed the decision to refuse the application on the grounds that: 1) this is a Decision:

ranching area and while the land is rough it is grazing land. 2) the property is of a similar size to other properties in the area. 3) the road is a minor, secondary route and is no obstacle to the farm use of the property. 4) while in application #V-29155 a subdivision was finally permitted using the road as the dividing line, the road also separated the residential uses from the surrounding farmland which is not the case

in this application.

Application #33899-0

John & Sheila Owens Applicant: September 25, 2001 **Decision Date:**

To subdivide a 72.8 ha ALR property into two lots of approximately 11 ha and 61.8 Proposal:

ha along the Princeton-Coalmont Road, which is a paved secondary highway.

Allowed on the grounds that the property had limited agricultural capability. Decision:

Application #35772-0

John & Sheila Owens Applicant:

April 27, 2005 **Decision Date:**

To subdivide the 9 ha property into two lots - 4.7 ha and 4.3 ha. Proposal:

Approved on the grounds that it would have no negative impact on agriculture. Decision:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS:

RDOS Board: No comments or recommendations, as per policy.

RDOS Planning Services: Has concerns with the proposal primarily in the context of introducing increased density in this rural area. Additionally, if a land use designation amendment application were submitted, there would be concerns about potentially impacting the larger agricultural corridor and setting a precedent for increased density in the area.

STAFF COMMENTS:

- The subject property is surrounded by ALR lands, all of which share similar soils and topographical features.
- Increased density, either recreational or residential, in the area could have a negative impact on possible ranching activity.

ATTACHMENTS:

- ALR Base Map (1:50,000)
- ALR Constituent Map (1:10,000)
- Letter from applicant
- Air photo

END OF REPORT

Date

PLAN SHOWING PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF LOTS A AND B, DISTRICT LOT 1133, Y.D.Y.D., PLAN KAP 79990 DATED 12TH MARCH 2007.

PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 10 AND 11 OF THE AGRICULTURAL LAND RESERVE USE ,SUBDIVISION AND PROCEEDURE REGULATION. BOUNDARY SHIFT. ZONING LH \(\triangle \text{ DENOTES 4FT PICKET SET.} \)

SCALE 1:2000

DENOTESAPPROXIMATE LOCATION OF EXISTING WELLS.

